CHAL 0249 Cy 4 of 5

22 July 1958

MEMORANDUM TO: DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS

SUBJECT

: Pilot Seat Ejection System Utilization

1. Prior to the advent of installing a Pilot Seat Eject System in project aircrafts, Headquarters established a directive on installation and utilization of the ejection seat as follows: "The ejection seat is to be installed for all flights except those flights which involve actual penetration missions."

25X1A

2. As a result of recent receipt of seat ejection modified aircrafts \_\_\_\_\_\_ the following information has been received from these detachments.

25X1A

a. "I would like to have it (seat) available for overflights if possible. The ejection seat is highly desirable. Use of seat on penetration mission should be as directed by the situation."

25X1A

b. "For morale and standardisation reasons, we wish to use the same seat as will be used for operational mission for all flights." (By operational they refer to the standard seat)

25X1A

3. If Headquarters is to maintain its present seat utilization policy, "B" detachment will tend toward non compliance and use the standard seat exclusively. Also, by maintaining this same directive, "C" detachment will be denied fulfillment of their considerations and suggestions as expressed in 4809. (See par 2.a. above)

4. Considerations: (Original Concept)

Weight penalty of seat Estimated altitude loss = 53 lbs.

Due to the smaller size of the seat pack used in the ejection seat, the survival components are fewer and therefore weigh less—more realistic

figures would be:

Weight penalty of seat = 53 lbs. Weight saved on smaller seat

pack = 15 lbs.

Total weight penalty Altitude loss

= 38 lbs.

= 38 ft.

- Comfort The new seating arrangement is considered to offer seating comfort favorable to the old seat.
- Flight Safety W/O the ejection seat, a successful egress is doubtful. With the seat, and in obeyance of proper procedures already published and forwarded to detachments, successful egress is favorable.
- Psychological Aspect The pilots have since their original check-out flight expressed a continued disturbance at the omission of an ejection seat in the project aircraft. They consider unassisted bail-out as virtually impossible and ditching or rough terrain forced landing as conducive to personal ruin. The ejection seat will negate their expressed fears and satisfy their desires for a safe and facile way of evacuation.
- Range Off loading fuel to adjust gross take off weight to offset the weight penalty of the ejection seat results in decreasing the T. O. fuel quantity by 6 gallons. Though this procedure would produce the same basic flight altitude profile as when using the standard seat, it would result in a loss of 3.6 minutes of fuel duration and approximately 24 nautical mile range.

25X1B

SUMMARY: The disadvantage of using the ejection seat in the U-2 lies in the altitude loss penalty which amounts to approximately 38 ft. None other are apparent even though a comfort compromise was at first anticipated. The altitude loss may be exchanged for flight duration and range compromise by readjusting the Take Off fuel load to compensate for the weight of the ejection seat. (approximately 6 gals. of LFIA) This results in the loss of 3.6 minutes of flight and 24 nautical miles.

The advantages stated briefly are in providing a safe method of evacuation and psychological assurance to the pilot.

RECOMMENDATIONS: Headquarters has directed that the ejection seat be used on all flights excepting flights involving penetrations. This directive was based on an understanding that the ejection seat is of great benefit

- 3 -

to flight safety but too costly in altitude limitation to afford that safety in penetration flights. Altitude advantage has been and still is our only defense. Now that the degree of altitude loss resulting from ejection seat installation has been determined as not being as excessive as originally anticipated, it is felt that the prevailing firm Headquarters policy could be modified. It is recommended that that part of the policy requiring ejection seat installation for training, ferrying, weather and peripheral flights be left unchanged. That part of the policy dictating standard seat installation for penetration flights could be changed to read, "type seat installed for penetration flight to be determined by mission duration, range and gross weight situation and subject to Commander's judgment and Pilot's desires.

25X1A

SIGNED

Aviation Physiologist

DPS/DCI/RJT:sem

Distribution:

- O RMR
- 2 WB
- 3 Ops subj
- 4 Chal file
- 5 Ops chron

## Approved For Release 2002/10/29 : CIA-RDP63-00313A000600010076-2

TOP SECRET

CHAL-0250 Page 1 of 4 Copy 13 of 14

23 July 1958

	STAFF MEETING MINUTES - 22 July 1958
25X1A 25X1 25X1A	Personnel Present: Mr. Bissell Mr. Cunningham Col. Burke Mr. Reber  Col. Geary, AFCIG-5 Col. Uhle, DCS/M
25X1A	OLD BUSINESS:
25X1A 25X1A	2. Edwards Test Schedule. presented to Mr. Bissell a paper prepared by as a result of the latter's recent visit to Edwards to discuss the Edwards test program. In general, some decisions apparently need to be made on the relative utility of some of the programs projected or in progress.
25X1A	ACTION: Mr. Bissell,
25X1A 25X1A	3. Edwards Emergency War Plan. stated this is progressing and that is working on it.  ACTION: Operations - SUSPENSE: 29 July.
25X1A	Mr. Bissell asked about a possible evacuation plan for Detachment B. said that the existing Mobility Plan would apply. Mr. Bissell commented that a local situation requiring evacuation
25X1A	from Adana would necessitate a move to but that if the situation were more general, a move to be indicated.
25X1A	
25X1A	4. Headquarters T/O. Col. Burke said that as a result of continuing discussions on the Headquarters T/O, a reduction of 4 positions had been made which results in a current Headquarters T/O of positions. We

Approved For Release 2002/10/29 : CIA-RDP63-00313A000600010076-2 Page 2 of 14

	101
	are now in a position to send this revised package to the DD/S for approval.
25X1A	ACTION: Messrs. Cunningham and SUSPENSE: 29 July
25X1A	5. Col. Burke's Visit to the Far East. Col. Burke requested all staff members to turn in to him before his departure for Detachment C (set for Thursday, 24 July) items to be discussed with
	ACTION: All Staff Members - SUSPENSE: 23 July.
25X1A	Col. Burke announced that since several items in the personnel field required discussion, it had been decided that would accompany him on the trip.
25X1A 25X1A	6. Postponement of Operation . The postponement of Operation was noted for the benefit of those staff members who may not have been aware of it. The extent of the delay cannot be finally determined at this point.
	ACTION: Mr. Bissell
	NEW BUSINESS:
	1. War Risk Insurance for Contractor Employees. Mr. Cunningham commented that this subject had been investigated in some detail several years ago with the conclusion that war risk insurance, although available, is almost prohibitively expensive. Mr. Bissell requested Mr. Cunningham to look into this subject again with the idea that the project might assume premiums on a monthly basis only, in the hope that such costs could be reduced to a manageable figure. Mr. Bissell said this subject requires an extremely careful examination particularly in view of the EWP in which we make a commitment to exspend all reasonable efforts to keep civilians on the job.
	ACTION: Mr. Cunningham - SUSPENSE: 29 July
25X1A	2. C-54 Utilization at Detachment B. presented some figures to show that utilization of the various C-54's at Detachment B has been quite high. Col. Geary commented that since the only approved missions of the C-54 are for support of the detachment, on each run there must be film or legitimate passengers aboard.
25X1A	Mr. Bissell stated that in view of the current ME situation perhaps Detachment B should consider skipping an occasional R&R trip, even if it means that we will have to give some of the contractor personnel premium pay under the terms of their contracts noted that occasionally the pickup of film at had been delayed because of the rigorous scheduling of R&R trips. Mr. Cunningham was requested to prepare a cable to Detachment B pointing out to that he has the authority, contracts not withstanding, to delay or reschedule R&R flights as he feels necessary.

Approved For Release 2002/10/29 : CIA-RDP63-00313A000600010076-2

25X1A

	3. Recruitment of Contractor Personnel. stated that 25X1A contracts for the major suppliers (Lockheed, Hycon) will expire near the end of 1958. Mr. Bissell noted several factors which would condition
	the hiring of replacements:
25X1A	a. A current estimate of Russian interceptor capability.  was requested to come up with such an estimate as soon as possible after informal talks with Hqs USAF, SAC, TAC and ATIC, if he felt such to be necessary.
25X1A	ACTION: SUSPENSE: 25 July (initial reading)
	b. Whether or not Hycon is to be retained as the camera field service contractor. A switch to Perkin-Elmer or ITEK is possible.
25X1A	ACTION: - SUSPENSE: 5 August.
	c. The results of the panel meeting scheduled for 31 July in Cambridge on successor projects. The consideration here is that if it appears we will be in this business for several years, it becomes more important to make a supplier change.
	In this regard, Mr. Bissell requested to summarize 25X1A the availability status of personnel other than contractor.
25X1A	ACTION: = SUSPENSE: 29 July.
25X1A	4. Support for Project 461-L. There was some discussion of a memo which had been received from making certain
	proposals on Project 461-L. Mr. Bissell asked to read the 25X1A proposal and give his opinion as to whether it was reasonable.
25X1A	ACTION: SUSPENSE: 29 July
	It was noted that Eastman is to process the take of 461-L for this season only. It had not been finally decided whether the take from 461-L would be injected into the "T" system.
25X1A	ACTION: Mr. Reber,
25X1A	5. Temporary Deactivation announced 25X1A
25X1A	that the signal center would be deactivated effective l August for approximately five weeks. He had not received any sneed
25X1A	to his query as to whether their signal center 25X1A

could be closed for the same period.

25X1A 25X1A	6. Camera Reliability. Mr. Bissell comparted that Dr. Rod Scot had made several recommendations on the subject of camera reliability. His analysis of the sources of continuing problems was similar to the made earlier by suggested that Dr. Scot and should probably return to the detachments for a period of time to advise on camera reliability.	at
25X1A	ACTION:	
25X1A		
	APPROVED:	
25X1A	WILLIAM BURKE Colonel, USAF Deputy Director, DPS/ECI	
	Distribution:  1 - (3A/PD/DCI 2 - Dep Dir DPS 3 - D & P DPS 4 - Mr. Reber 5 - Admin DPS 6 - Personnel DPS 7 - Finance DPS 8 - Security DPS 9 - Commo DPS 10 - Contracting DPS 11 - Materiel DPS	